PhDs in the Humanities

Call for proposals

Social Sciences And Humanities
# Contents

1 Introduction  
  1.1 Background  
  1.2 Available budget  
  1.3 Submission deadline(s)  

2 Aim  
  2.1 Aim of the programme  

3 Conditions for applicants  
  3.1 Who can apply  
  3.2 What can be applied for  
  3.3 Preparing an application  
  3.4 Conditions for submission  
  3.5 Conditions on granting  

4 Assessment procedure  
  4.1 The San Francisco Declaration (DORA)  
  4.2 Procedure  
  4.3 Criteria  

5 Obligations for grant recipients  
  5.1 Data management  
  5.2 Intellectual property  
  5.3 Socially responsible licensing  
  5.4 Open Access  

6 Contact and other information  
  6.1 Contact  
  6.2 Other information
1 Introduction

In this Call for proposals information is provided about the application procedure for the “PhDs in the Humanities” funding round. This Call for proposals falls under the joint responsibility of the Dutch Research Council (NWO) and the Programme Office Sustainable Humanities.

In this Call for proposals you will find information about the aim of this programme (Chapter 2), the conditions for the grant application (Chapter 3) and how your proposal will be assessed (Chapter 4). This is the information you need to submit a grant application. Chapter 5 states the obligations for grant recipients. Chapter 6 contains the contact details.

1.1 Background

The committee National Plan for the Future of the Humanities (in brief the Cohen Committee) in its report of 2008 entitled ‘Sustainable Humanities’ made nine recommendations aimed at ensuring a sustainable future for the humanities. The so-called National Plan for the Future of the Humanities contains, for example, the proposal to give research talent more opportunities. The call for proposals PhDs in the Humanities is the joint initiative of the Programme Office Sustainable Humanities and NWO to increase the number of PhD positions within the humanities faculties.

1.2 Available budget

The Programme Office Sustainable Humanities and the NWO Domain Board Social Sciences and Humanities have made an amount of € 3,200,000 available for this Call for proposals.

1.3 Submission deadline(s)

The deadline for submitting full proposals is March 3, 2022, at 14:00:00 hours CET.

When you submit your application in ISAAC, you will also need to enter some details online. Therefore please start submitting your application at least one day before the deadline of this Call for proposals. Applications that are submitted after the deadline will not be taken into consideration.
2 Aim

This chapter describes the aim of the programme and the societal impact.

2.1 Aim of the programme

The aim of the programme PhDs in the Humanities is to provide research talent with the opportunity to carry out an independent PhD project.

National funding rounds will be organised each year. The Programme Office Sustainable Humanities and the NWO Domain Board Social Sciences and Humanities evaluate the funding instrument each year and can – if necessary and insofar it is possible within the funding framework – then decide to adjust procedures, quotas and/or criteria.
3 Conditions for applicants

This chapter contains the conditions that are applicable to your grant application. Firstly it describes who can apply for funding (section 3.1) and what you can request funding for (section 3.2). Subsequently, you will find the conditions for preparing and submitting the application (sections 3.3 and 3.4) and the specific funding conditions (section 3.5).

3.1 Who can apply

Applications can only be submitted
- For PhD students who have been selected by the deans of the following Humanities faculties:
  - Erasmus University Rotterdam
  - Leiden University
  - Maastricht University
  - Open University
  - Protestant Theological University
  - Radboud University Nijmegen
  - Theological University Apeldoorn
  - Theological University Reformed Churches
  - Tilburg University
  - University of Amsterdam
  - University of Aruba
  - University of Curaçao
  - University of Groningen
  - University of Humanistic Studies
  - University of St. Martin
  - Utrecht University
  - VU University Amsterdam
- by applicants who have a contract of appointment with one of the universities mentioned above for at least the duration of the research for which funding is requested.¹

The applicant, i.e. the person who actually submits the PhD project, is the supervisor (beoogd promotor).² A second supervisor may act as co-applicant. Candidates must have completed a (research) master’s degree before 1 September 2022.³

University selection

The internal selection of the candidates and the submission of a limited number of candidates to NWO (see Allocation formula) is the responsibility of the deans of the faculties concerned. Each faculty is responsible for organising an open and transparent pre-selection procedure to determine which proposals can be submitted to NWO.

A dean may allow a candidate to participate in a maximum of two funding rounds. A candidate may not be involved in more than one proposal per funding round: therefore he/she cannot be nominated by different universities at the same time.

¹ Personnel with a zero-hour appointment is excluded from applying.
² Full Professors or Associate Professors (who have been granted the right to act as promotor by their university) have to submit the applications since the applicant is the formal point of contact for NWO. If the proposal is awarded funding then correspondence will primarily be sent to the person who is responsible for the financial and research aspects of the project.
³ If a candidate is expected to graduate before 1 September 2022, but has not yet graduated at the time of the deadline for submission for this call for proposals, a signed letter of confirmation from the thesis supervisor containing the expected graduation date of the candidate is part of the application.
Allocation formula

An allocation formula has been devised for the submission of applications. The maximum number of FTEs that may be submitted to NWO for funding each year by the dean of the faculty concerned:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Number of FTEs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of Amsterdam</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utrecht University</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Groningen</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiden University</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radboud University Nijmegen</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VU University Amsterdam</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erasmus University Rotterdam</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilburg University</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maastricht University</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open University</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theological University Reformed Churches</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theological University Apeldoorn⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protestant Theological University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Humanistic Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Aruba</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Curaçao</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of St. Martin⁵</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>39 FTE</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This allocation formula does not apply to the awarding of proposals. If the maximum number of FTEs that may be submitted to NWO is exceeded by a university, a guarantee has to be provided that states that additional funds will be matched for this specific application. If this specific application is selected for funding, the matching commitment still applies (independent of the total number of projects being financed from that university).

Each dean submits a letter to NWO in which is stated which proposals are selected by their faculty and how the pre-selection was organized. In this same letter, the matching of 20% of the requested budget for all projects to be submitted must be confirmed by the dean.

3.2 What can be applied for

Funding can be requested for a PhD who is appointed for 1.0 FTE for a duration of 48 months or for 0.8 FTE for a duration of 60 months. The salary costs are funded in accordance with the VSNU salary tables applicable at the moment the grant is awarded (www.nwo.nl/eng/salary-tables). A one-off individual bench fee of € 5,000 (no specification required) is added on top of the salary costs. This bench fee is intended to encourage the scientific career of the project employee funded by NWO. Remunerations for PhD students/PhD scholarship students at a Dutch university are not eligible for funding from NWO.

A maximum of € 5,000 material budget (specifications required) per application can be applied for. The material budget is specified according to the three categories below:

---

⁴ The universities based on philosophical or religious principles are allowed to submit one application in total. The Nationwide Network of Universities based on Religious Principles (NLU) is responsible for the execution of an internal selection round.

⁵ The universities of Aruba, Curaçao and St. Martin are allowed to submit one application in total. The rectors of these universities are responsible for the execution of an internal selection round.
Project-related goods/services
- consumables (glassware, chemicals, cryogenic fluids, etc.)
- measurement and calculation time (e.g. access to supercomputer, etc.)
- costs for acquiring or using data collections (e.g. from Statistics Netherlands)
- access to large national and international facilities (e.g. cleanroom, synchrotron, etc.)
- work by third parties (e.g. laboratory analyses, data collection, citizen science, etc.)

Travel and accommodation costs for the personnel positions applied for
- travel and accommodation costs
- conference attendance (maximum of two per year)
- fieldwork
- work visit

Implementation costs
- national symposium/conference/workshop organised within the research project
- costs for Open Access publishing (solely in full gold Open Access journals, registered in the “Directory of Open Access Journals” https://doaj.org/)
- data management costs
- costs involved in applying for licences (e.g. for animal experiments)

Costs that cannot be applied for are:
- basic facilities within the institution (e.g. laptops, desks, etc.);
- maintenance and insurance costs.

3.3 Preparing an application

The steps involved in writing your application are:
- download the application form from the NWO web application ISAAC or from the NWO web page (on the grant page of the funding instrument concerned);
- complete the application form;
- save the application form in ISAAC as a PDF file and upload it with any annexes;
- fill in the requested information online in ISAAC.

Compulsory annexes: a signed letter of confirmation from the thesis supervisor containing the expected graduation date of the candidate (if applicable)

Annexes must be uploaded in ISAAC separately from the application. All of the annexes must be submitted as PDF files (without encryption).

You must write your application in English.

An application can only be submitted via the web application ISAAC. Applications that are not submitted via ISAAC will not be taken into consideration.

As the main applicant, you are required to submit the application via your own personal ISAAC account.

It is important to start with your application in ISAAC on time:
- If you do not yet have an ISAAC account, then you should create this on time to prevent any possible registration problems.
- You also need to submit other details online.

Applications submitted after the deadline will not be taken into consideration by NWO.

For technical questions, please contact the ISAAC helpdesk, see contact (Chapter 6).
3.4 Conditions for submission

3.4.1 Formal conditions for submission

NWO will assess your application against the conditions listed below. Your application will only be admitted to the assessment procedure if it meets these conditions. After submitting your application, we request you to be available to implement any possible administrative corrections so that you can (still) meet the conditions for submission.

These conditions are:
- the main applicant, co-applicant(s) and prospected PhD-candidate meet the conditions stated in Section 3.1;
- the dean of the university submitted a letter stating your proposal is selected by the respective faculty and how the pre-selection was organised;
- the application form is, after a possible request to make additions or changes, correct, complete and filled out according to the instructions;
- the application is submitted via the main applicant’s ISAAC account;
- the application is received at or before the deadline set;
- the application is written in English;
- the application budget is drawn up in accordance with the conditions for this Call for proposals;
- the proposed project has a duration of at least 48 and at most 60 months;
- All of the required annexes have been submitted.

3.4.2 Submitting an application

An application can only be submitted to NWO via the online application system ISAAC. Applications not submitted via ISAAC will not be taken into consideration.

A main applicant must submit his/her application via his/her own ISAAC account. If the main applicant does not have an ISAAC account yet, then this should be created at least one day before the application is submitted to ensure that any registration problems can be resolved on time. If the main applicant already has an NWO-account, then he/she does not need to create a new account to submit an application.

For technical questions please contact the ISAAC helpdesk, see Chapter 6.

3.5 Conditions on granting

If NWO awards funding then the faculty will appoint the candidate no later than 30 September 2022 and in accordance with the prevailing NWO granting conditions. The NWO Grant Rules 2017 and the Agreement on the Payment of Costs for Scientific Research are applicable to all applications.

3.5.1 Data management

The results of scientific research must be replicable, verifiable and falsifiable. In the digital age, this means that, in addition to publications, research data must also be publicly accessible insofar as this is possible. NWO expects that research data resulting from NWO-funded projects will be made publicly available, as much as possible, for reuse by other researchers. “As open as possible, as closed as necessary” is the applicable principle in this respect. Researchers are at least expected to make the data and/or non-numerical results that underlie the conclusions of work published within the project publicly available at the same time as the work’s publication. Any costs incurred for this can be included in the project budget. Researchers should explain how data emerging from the project will be dealt with based on the data management section in the proposal and the data management plan that is drawn up after funding is awarded.
Data management section
The data management section is part of the proposal. Researchers are asked before the start of the research to consider how the data collected will be ordered and categorised so that this can be made publicly available. Measures will often already need to be taken, during the creation of data and analysis of the data, to make its later storage and dissemination possible. If it is not possible to make all data from the project publicly available, for example due to reasons of privacy, ethics or valorisation, then the applicant is obliged to list the reasons for this in the data management section.

The data management section in the proposal is not evaluated and will therefore not be weighed in the decision whether to award funding. However, both the referees and the committee can issue advice with respect to the data management section.

3.5.2 Scientific integrity
In accordance with the NWO Grant Rules 2017, the project that NWO funds must be carried out in accordance with the nationally and internationally accepted standards for scientific conduct as stated in the Netherlands Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (2018). By submitting the proposal, the applicant commits to this code. In the case of a (possible) violation of these standards during a project funded by NWO, the applicant should immediately inform NWO of this and should submit all relevant documents to NWO. More information about the code of conduct and the policy regarding research integrity can be found on the website: www.nwo.nl/en/scientific-integrity.

3.5.3 Ethical statement or licence
The applicant is responsible for determining whether an ethical statement or licence is needed for the realisation of the proposed project. The applicant should ensure that this is obtained from the relevant institution or ethics committee on time. If the project is awarded funding, then the grant is issued under the condition that the necessary ethical statement or licence is obtained before the latest start date for the project. The project cannot start until NWO has received a copy of the ethical statement or licence.

3.5.4 Nagoya Protocol
The Nagoya Protocol ensures an honest and reasonable distribution of benefits emerging from the use of genetic resources (Access and Benefit Sharing; ABS). Researchers who make use of genetic sources from the Netherlands or abroad for their research should familiarise themselves with the Nagoya Protocol (www.absfocalpoint.nl). NWO assumes that researchers will take all necessary actions with respect to the Nagoya Protocol. http://www.absfocalpoint.nl/
4 Assessment procedure

This chapter first of all describes the course of the assessment procedure (Section 4.1). It then states the criteria that the assessment committee will use to assess your application (Section 4.2).

The NWO Code for Dealing with Personal Interests applies to all persons and NWO employees involved in the assessment and/or decision-taking process (www.nwo.nl/en/code-dealing-personal-interests).

NWO strives to achieve an inclusive culture in which there is no place for conscious or unconscious barriers due to cultural, ethnic or religious background, gender, sexual orientation, health or age (www.nwo.nl/en/diversity-and-inclusion). NWO encourages members of an assessment committee or jury to be actively aware of implicit associations and to try to minimise these. NWO will provide them with information about concrete ways of improving the assessment of an application.

4.1 The San Francisco Declaration (DORA)

NWO is a signatory to the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA). DORA is a worldwide initiative that aims to improve the way research and researchers are assessed. DORA contains recommendations for research funders, research institutions, scientific journals and other parties.

DORA aims to reduce the uncritical use of bibliometric indicators and obviate unconscious bias in the assessment of research and researchers. DORA’s overarching philosophy is that research should be evaluated on its own merits rather than on the basis of surrogate measures, such as the journal in which the research is published.

When assessing the scientific track record of applicants, NWO makes use of a broad definition of scientific output.

NWO requests committee members and referees not to rely on indicators such as the Journal Impact Factor or the h-index when assessing applications. Applicants are not allowed to mention these in their applications. You are, however, allowed to list other scientific products besides publications, such as datasets, patents, software and code, et cetera.

For more information on how NWO is implementing the principles of DORA, see www.nwo.nl/en/dora.

4.2 Procedure

The application procedure consists of the following steps:

- Submission of the proposal
- Consideration of the proposal
- Interview
- Assessment committee meeting
- Decision-taking

Due to the expertise present in the assessment committee and the small size of the grant, NWO has decided for the assessment of the applications to make use of the possibility given in Article 2.2.4, paragraph 2, of the NWO Grant Rules 2017, to realise the assessment procedure without involving referees.
4.2.1 Submission of a proposal
For the submission of the proposal, a standard form is available on the funding page of this Call for proposals on the NWO website. When you write your proposal, you must adhere to the questions stated on this form and the procedure given in the explanatory notes. You must also adhere to the conditions for the maximum number of words and pages. Your complete application form must have been received before the deadline via ISAAC (see paragraph 1.3). After this deadline, you can no longer submit a proposal. After submitting the proposal, the main applicant will receive a confirmation of receipt.

4.2.2 Consideration of the proposal
As soon as possible after you have submitted your proposal, you will hear from NWO whether or not your proposal will be taken into consideration. NWO will determine this based on several administrative-technical criteria (see the formal conditions for submission, Section 3.4). NWO can only take your proposal into consideration if it meets these conditions. You are asked to be available during two weeks after submitting your proposal to enter any possible administrative corrections so that your proposal can (still) meet the conditions for submission. You will be given one opportunity to make the corrections, and you will be given five working days to do this.

4.2.3 Assessment committee
The assessment committee is made up of members drawn from different disciplines within the humanities. In principle, the assessment committee has ten members, five (including the chair) to be appointed by NWO and five to be appointed by the Programme Office Sustainable Humanities. If members of the previous round’s assessment committee are appointed for the current round, they will make up no more than two-thirds of the assessment committee. The names of the members are announced on the NWO programme page after the final results of the round are communicated to the applicants.

4.2.4 Interview
All candidates will be invited for an interview. During the interview, the assessment committee has the opportunity to pose questions. The candidate can respond to these in the discussion with the committee. In this manner, the principle of hearing and rebuttal is applied. The interview is an important part of the assessment.

4.2.5 Meeting of the assessment committee
Following the interviews, the committee draws up a written recommendation addressed to the decision-taking body about the quality and ranking of the proposals. This recommendation is based on the assessment criteria. The proposal must receive an overall qualification of at least "good" to be eligible for the funding, as well as at least the qualification “good” for each of the separate assessment criteria. For more information about the qualifications, see www.nwo.nl/en/apply-funding-how-does-it-work.
4.2.6 Ex aequo
NWO understands ex aequo to be a situation in which two or more proposals based on their weighted score cannot be distinguished from each other. An ex aequo situation is relevant with respect to the boundary of the available budget or the selection boundary. The existence of an ex aequo situation is determined as follows. The starting point is the ranking drawn up by the assessment committee, with the final scores rounded down to two decimal points. The reference score is the score of the lowest-ranked proposal within the boundary of the available budget or the selection boundary. All proposals with a score that is within 0.05 or less of the reference score will be considered. As a result, the proposals that are equal within a score of 0.1 will be selected. If an ex aequo situation occurs at the boundary of available budget or the selection boundary, then the committee considers the distribution of applications across disciplines. If the proposals subsequently end in a tie, the assessment committee, with the help of an (anonymous) majority vote, will determine the ranking (in accordance with Article 2.2.7, third paragraph, sub a, part iv of the NWO Grant Rules 2017). If this vote provides no resolution either, or is not desirable to vote, the ex aequo situation will be send on to the decision-making body.

4.2.7 Decision-taking
Finally, the decision-taking body, made up of the chair of the Programme Office Sustainable Humanities and the NWO Domain Board Social Sciences and Humanities, will assess the procedure followed and the advice from the assessment committee. It will subsequently determine the final qualifications and take a decision about awarding or rejecting the proposals.

4.2.8 Timetable
Below, you will find the timetable for this Call for proposals. During the procedure, NWO might find it necessary to make further changes to the timetable for this Call for proposals. You will, of course, be informed about this in time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>end 2021</td>
<td>Humanities Temporary Task Force and NWO Domain Board Social Sciences and Humanities publish call for proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>before 3 March 2022, 14:00 PM</td>
<td>supervisors submit applications selected by respective faculty to NWO via ISAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idem</td>
<td>deans inform NWO by letter about the PhD proposals selected by their faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2022</td>
<td>NWO determines admissibility of proposals submitted and informs applicants accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2022</td>
<td>committee discusses the applications and establishes subjects for the interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of April / May 2022</td>
<td>committee interviews all of the candidates and draws up a written recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2022</td>
<td>chair Humanities Temporary Task Force and the NWO Social Sciences and Humanities Domain Board take decision; NWO informs applicants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 September 2022</td>
<td>latest date for starting PhD-research by the successful applicants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Criteria
The quality of the research proposal is assessed on the basis of two criteria. Each criterion carries equal weighting in the overall assessment. The criteria are:
1. Quality of the PhD candidate
The following indicators will be considered for the assessment:

- studies, honours, prizes, travel grants, output, relevant academic activities
- motivation for doing academic research
- (other) experience relevant to carrying out the proposed PhD project

2. Quality of the research proposal
The following indicators will be considered for the assessment:

- scientific importance
- originality/innovative character of the objectives and methodology (originality)
- clarity of the problem posed; operationability in sub-questions
- suitability of the approach chosen and methodology for the objectives set
- feasibility of the work plan
- satisfactory composition of the supervision/research group
5 Obligations for grant recipients

This chapter details the various obligations that - in addition to the conditions stated in Section 3.5 - apply after funds have been awarded.

5.1 Data management

After a proposal has been awarded funding, the researcher should elaborate the data management section into a data management plan. For this, applicants can make use of the advice from the referees and committee. The applicant describes in the plan whether use will be made of existing data, whether new data will be collected or generated, and how the data will be made FAIR: Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable. Before submission, the data management plan should be checked by a data steward or similar officer of the organisation where the project will be realised. The plan should be submitted to NWO via ISAAC within 4 months after the proposal has been awarded funding. NWO will approve the plan as quickly as possible. Approval of the data management plan by NWO is a condition for disbursement of the funding. The plan can be adjusted during the research. More information about the data management protocol of NWO can be found at: www.nwo.nl/en/research-data-management.

5.2 Intellectual property

With respect to intellectual property (IP), the NWO IP policy applies. This can be found in Chapter 4 of the NWO Grant Rules 2017.

Applicants must carry out a project funded by NWO during the time that they work for the knowledge institution. If an applicant or a researcher funded by NWO is appointed by more than one employer, then the other employer should relinquish any possible IP rights that emerge from the project of the applicant.

5.3 Socially responsible licensing

The knowledge that emerges from the project could be suitable for use in society. When agreements about licensing and/or the transfer of research results developed under this Call for proposals are made, due consideration should be given to the ten principles for socially responsible licensing, as stated in the NFU factsheet “Socially Responsible Licensing Toolkit for knowledge institutions” (www.nfu.nl/sites/default/files/2020-09/200902-NFU%20Factsheet%20Toolkit%20SRL.pdf).

5.4 Open Access

As a signatory to the Berlin Declaration (2003) and a member of cOAlition S (2018), NWO is committed to making the results of the research it funds openly accessible via the internet (Open Access). By doing this, NWO gives substance to the ambitions of the Dutch government to make all publicly funded research available in Open Access form. Scientific publications arising from projects awarded on the basis of this Call for proposals must therefore be made available in Open Access form in accordance with the Open Access Policy.

Scientific articles

Scientific articles must be made available in Open Access form immediately at the time of publication (without embargo) via one of the following routes:
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- publication in a fully Open Access journal or platform registered in the DOAJ;
- publication in a subscription journal and the immediate deposition of at least the author accepted manuscript of the article in an Open Access repository registered in Open DOAR;
- publication in a journal for which a transformative Open Access agreement exists between VSNU and a publisher. For further information, see www.openaccess.nl/en.

Books

CC BY licence
To ensure the widest possible dissemination of publications, at least a Creative Commons (CC BY) licence must be applied. Alternatively – in case of substantial interest – the author may request to publish under a CC BY-ND licence. For books, book chapters and collected volumes, all CC BY licence options are allowed.

Costs
Costs for publication in fully Open Access journals can be budgeted in the application using the budget module for “material costs”. Costs for publications in hybrid journals are not eligible for reimbursement by NWO. For Open Access books, a separate NWO Open Access Books Fund is available.

For more detailed information about NWO’s Open Access policy, see www.nwo.nl/en/open-science.
6 Contact and other information

6.1 Contact

6.1.1 Specific questions

For specific questions about this Call for proposals, please contact:

Kelly Pijpers
T: +31703494283
E: pgw-sgw@nwo.nl
W: https://www.nwo.nl/onderzoeksprogrammas/promoties-de-geesteswetenschappen
W: https://www.nwo.nl/en/researchprogrammes/phds-humanities

6.1.2 Contact details Programme Office Sustainable Humanities

Programme Office Sustainable Humanities
f.a.o. Dennis Smit
C/o Achter de Dom 20
3512 JP Utrecht
E: secretaris@regiegeesteswetenschappen.nl
W: www.regiegeesteswetenschappen.nl

6.1.3 Contact details universities

Erasmus University Rotterdam
C. (Christel) Theunissen & A. (Adhemare) de Rijk
Faculty Services
T: +31 10 408 9128
E: phd@eshcc.eur.nl

Leiden University
J.A. (Job) Weststrate
Faculteit der Geesteswetenschappen
T: +31 71 527 6469
E: j.a.weststrate@hum.leidenuniv.nl

Maastricht University
M.J.S. (Merle) Achten
Cluster Coordinator Research Support Office
T: +31 646 705509
E: merle.achten@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Network of Universities based on Philosophical or Religious Principles (NLU)
Laura Lancée
Medewerker Kennisvalorisatie en Subsidieadviseur
T: +31 30 239 0143
E: l.lancee@uvh.nl
Open University
prof. dr. Gemma Blok
Faculty of Cultural Sciences
T: +31 45 576 21 62
E: gemma.blok@ou.nl

Radboud University Nijmegen
P.B. (Peter) van der Heiden
Faculty of Arts
T: +31 24 361 55 59
E: gshcoordinator@let.ru.nl

Tilburg University
L.M. (Loes) Jongen
Faculty of Humanities
T: +31 13 466 2643
E: l.m.jongen@tilburguniversity.edu

University of Amsterdam
S.A. (Simon) Speksnijder
Faculty of Humanities
T: +31 20 525 6234
E: s.a.speksnijder@uva.nl

University of Aruba
Dr. V (Viola) Heutger
Dean, University of Aruba
T: +297 5262202
E: viola.heutger@ua.aw

University of Curaçao
Dr E. (Liesbeth) Echteld
Dean, Faculty of Arts
Chair, University of Curaçao Research Institute
T: +599 9 744 2102
E: e.echteld@uoc.cw

University of Groningen
N.A. (Nadja) Zadorina
Graduate School for the Humanities
T: +31 50 363 8416
E: gsh-groningen@rug.nl

University of St. Martin
Dr A.(Antonio) Carmona Báez
Dean, University of St. Martin
T: +1721 5425171 ext. 1024
E: president@usm.sx

Utrecht University
A. (Aad) Haverkamp
Beleidsmedewerker Onderzoek
Faculteit Geesteswetenschappen
T: +31 30 253 2681
E: a.haverkamp@uu.nl
6.1.4 Technical questions about the web application ISAAC
For technical questions about the use of ISAAC, please contact the ISAAC helpdesk. Please read the manual first before consulting the helpdesk. The ISAAC helpdesk can be contacted from Monday to Friday between 10:00 and 17:00 hours on +31 20 346 7179. However, you can also submit your question by email to isaac.helpdesk@nwo.nl. You will then receive an answer within two working days.

6.2 Other information

NWO might approach applicants for an evaluation of the procedure and/or research programme.