Chapter 1: Introduction / Mozaiek 2.0

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Diversity and inclusion are high on NWO’s agenda and are explicitly included in the NWO strategy 2019-2022\(^1\). NWO strives to achieve an inclusive academic culture, both for its own organisation and institutes and for Dutch academia in general.

In September 2020, the National action plan for greater diversity and inclusion in higher education and research\(^2\) was presented by the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science. Its introduction was prompted by the under-representation and limited inflow into Dutch academia of Dutch citizens with a migration background\(^3\) from Africa, Asia, the Caribbean, Central and South America, and Turkey.

One objective of the national action plan is to embed diversity more effectively in existing instruments. As a short-term action, the plan therefore introduces a new NWO instrument to increase the proportion of Dutch researchers with a migration background. NWO developed the Impulse programme for Inclusion in Academia (IIA) in collaboration with ECHO (Expertise Centre for Diversity Policy) and LanDO (National Network of Diversity Officers). Working with these partners, NWO carried out an exploration into the obstacles experienced in Dutch academia by Dutch citizens with a migration background and the needs of this group. The programme comprises various instruments aimed at stimulating the inflow and progression of researchers with a migration background.

Outcomes of the final evaluation of Mosaic

As part of this exploration, a final evaluation was carried out of the NWO Mosaic programme (2004-2012). The aim of this programme was to make Dutch academia more diverse by funding PhD positions for talented graduates with a migration background. All participants in the final evaluation reported that diversity in academia remains limited. This fact is a barrier to students with a migration background, as they have little opportunity to identify with people with similar migration backgrounds already working in academia. Various reasons have been cited for this, such as the under-representation of this group among the academic staff of knowledge institutions, lack of familiarity with academic careers and the absence of a relevant network.

NWO and its partners in the academic field consider it important to encourage a more inclusive climate within the knowledge institutions. The Mosaic programme will therefore be continued as part of the IIA, under the new name Mosaic 2.0.

The final evaluation report (in Dutch) is available on the Mosaic website (https://www.nwo.nl/en/researchprogrammes/mosaic).

1.2 Available budget

A budget of € 4.176.000 is available for the 2021 Mosaic 2.0 round. This is sufficient to fund approximately 13 PhD positions.

---


\(^3\) In this brochure, “migration background” means a migration background from the specified regions and countries.
1.3 Validity of the call for proposals

The 2021 Mosaic 2.0 round has two phases:

1. Submitting a shortened application (the pre-proposal).
2. Submitting a full proposal.

The deadline for submitting pre-proposals is **28 September 2021, 14:00:00 CEST**.

The deadline for submitting full proposals is **8 March 2022, 14:00:00 CET**.

The Mosaic 2.0 2021 call for proposals is valid until the date on which the NWO Executive Board decides on the full proposals (see Section 4.1 for the complete time schedule for this funding round). For projects awarded funding within this call for proposals, the conditions stated will continue to apply for the full duration of the project.
Mosaic 2.0 aims to encourage the inflow of graduates with a migration background, an under-represented group in the Netherlands, into the academic world. Mosaic 2.0 is intended to promote the further development of an inclusive working environment within Dutch universities: a working environment in which a diverse composition is actively pursued, in which equal opportunities are created and differences within the working environment are valued. NWO and the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science are thus aiming to highlight the academic potential of researchers with a migration background. The programme will also facilitate the creation of a network, thus expanding the opportunities to develop a continuing career in academia.
3 Guidelines for applicants

3.1 Who can apply

Applicants may not submit a pre-proposal or full proposal themselves.

Full or associate professors acting on the candidate’s behalf as a main applicant may submit the pre-proposal and full proposal, if they are employed (i.e. hold a salaried position) at one of the following organisations:

- Universities established in the Kingdom of the Netherlands;
- University medical centres in the Kingdom of the Netherlands;
- NWO and KNAW institutes;
- the Netherlands Cancer Institute;
- the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics in Nijmegen;
- the DUBBLE Beamline at the ESRF in Grenoble;
- NCB Naturalis;
- Advanced Research Center for Nanolithography (ARCNL);
- Princess Máxima Center.

- and have a contract of employment (duration of appointment) for at least the duration of the research for which funding is requested. Personnel with a zero-hours appointment are excluded from submitting applications.

The supervisor must hold the right to award PhDs (ius promovendi) on the deadline for submitting pre-proposals. These can be full or associate professors. The supervisor may submit for more than one candidate.

The pre-proposal and full proposal must be submitted by the same applicants. These are the intended supervisor as main applicant and possibly a co-supervisor as co-applicant. This means that a maximum of two applicants may be involved.

The following conditions apply to candidates:

- The candidate was born in a country in Africa, Asia, the Caribbean, Central and South America, or in Turkey.
  or:
  - at least one of the candidate’s parents was born in one of these countries.
- The candidate holds the Dutch nationality or a valid residence permit (type II or IV).
- The candidate has graduated from a university in the Kingdom of the Netherlands and has completed their entire university education in the Kingdom of the Netherlands.
  or:
  - The candidate is a final-year student at a university in the Kingdom of the Netherlands.
  If the candidate has not yet graduated at the time of submitting the pre-proposal but is expected to graduate before 8 March 2022, a statement indicating the expected graduation date must be attached to the pre-proposal.
- Applicants who, at the time of submission, are already receiving funding to conduct PhD research or already hold a PhD appointment at a university are not eligible for a grant, irrespective of the research topic. This also applies to candidates conducting PhD research as external PhD students.
- An application can be submitted for only one candidate (i.e. not for pairs, groups or research teams).

---

4 "Applicants" refers to both main applicants and co-applicants.

5 Only type II and IV permits are eligible because they apply to residence for an indefinite period.
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- An application can be submitted only if the research is to be conducted at an organisation which employs the main and/or co-applicant.

3.2 What can be applied for

A maximum of € 315,000 can be requested for a research proposal in this round. The rates for all budget modules are included in the budget form accompanying the application form. The budget modules (including the maximum amounts) available within this call for proposals are listed below.

**PhD students**

A grant can be requested for the salary costs of PhD students. PhD students are appointed for 1.0 FTE for a duration of 48 months. The equivalent of 48 full-time months is also possible, e.g. an appointment of 0.8 FTE for 60 months. If a different duration of appointment is considered necessary for the realisation of the proposed research, then as long as this is properly justified, the standard time can be deviated from. However, the duration of appointment must always be at least 48 months. Salary costs are funded in accordance with the VSNU salary tables applicable at the moment the grant is awarded ([https://www.nwo.nl/salary-tables](https://www.nwo.nl/salary-tables)).

In addition to salary costs, a one-off individual bench fee of € 5,000 (no specification required) is awarded. This bench fee is intended to encourage the academic career of the project employee funded by NWO. Remunerations for PhD students/PhD scholarship students at a Dutch university are not eligible for funding from NWO.

**Material budget**

For each full-time PhD position applied for, a maximum of € 15,000 material budget can be applied for per year of the appointment. The applicant is responsible for distributing the total amount of material budget across the NWO-funded personnel position. The material budget that can be applied for is specified according to the three categories below:

**Project-related goods/services**
- consumables (glassware, chemicals, cryogenic fluids, etc.);
- measurement and calculation time (e.g. supercomputer access, etc.);
- costs for acquiring or using data collections (e.g. from Statistics Netherlands), for which the total amount does not exceed € 25,000 per application;
- access to large national and international facilities (e.g. cleanrooms, synchrotrons, etc.);
- work by third parties (e.g. laboratory analyses, data collection, citizen science, etc.);
- personnel costs for the appointment of a postdoc and/or non-scientific personnel of a smaller size than offered under these personnel budget modules.

**Travel and accommodation costs for the requested personnel positions**
- travel and accommodation costs;
- congress visits (max. 2 per year per requested scientific personnel position);
- fieldwork;
- work visits.

**Implementation costs**
- national symposium/conference/workshop organised by the project;
- costs of Open Access publishing (only in full gold Open Access journals, registered in the Directory of Open Access Journals, [https://doaj.org/](https://doaj.org/));
- data management costs;
- costs of licence applications (e.g. for animal experiments);
3.4.1 When can applications be submitted

The deadline for submitting pre-proposals is **28 September 2021, 14:00:00 CEST.**

The deadline for submitting full proposals is **8 March 2022, 14:00:00 CET.**

Only applicants who have been invited to elaborate their application based on their pre-proposal may submit a full proposal.

When submitting your application in ISAAC, you must also enter data online. Entering this information and uploading your application may take longer than you expect. You should therefore start creating an ISAAC account and submitting your application at least a few days before the deadline of this call for proposals. Applications submitted after the deadline will not be considered.

3.4 Preparing an application

The topic of the PhD research is completely free; any research topic can be considered. Applications are assessed on the basis of the criteria in Section 4.2.

3.4.1 Preparing a pre-proposal

- Download the application form from the electronic application system ISAAC or from the NWO website (at the foot of the web page for the relevant funding instrument).
- Complete the application form.
- Save the application form as a PDF file and upload it in ISAAC (**https://www.isaac.nwo.nl**).  

The pre-proposal must be written in English and must include:

- a description of the candidate’s profile (maximum 1500 words)*;
- a research idea (maximum 100 words).
3.4.2 Preparing a full proposal

- Download the application form from the electronic application system ISAAC or from the NWO website (at the foot of the web page for the relevant funding instrument).
- Complete the application form.
- Save the application form as a PDF file and upload it in ISAAC (https://www.isaac.nwo.nl).

The full proposal must be written in English and must include:
- a description of the research proposal in a maximum of 3,000 words, including footnotes, literature references and text in illustrations;
- a completed data management section;
- a budget estimate.

3.5 Conditions on granting

The NWO Grant Rules 2017 and the Agreement on the Payment of Costs for Scientific Research apply to all applications.

The San Francisco Declaration (DORA)

NWO is signatory to the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA). DORA is a worldwide initiative that aims to improve the way research and researchers are assessed. DORA contains recommendations for research funders, research institutions, journals and other organisations.

DORA aims to reduce the uncritical use of bibliometric indicators and curb unconscious bias in the assessment of research and researchers. DORA’s overarching philosophy is that research should be evaluated on its own merits rather than on the basis of surrogate measures such as the journal in which the research is published. For NWO this means that committee members and referees are requested not to rely on indicators such as the Journal Impact Factor or the H-index when assessing applications. Applicants are not allowed to mention these in their applications. When assessing the scientific track record of applicants, NWO considers a broad range of research outputs. In addition to research publications, applicants are encouraged to include other scholarly outputs such as datasets, patents, software and code, etc. in their applications.

For more information on how NWO is implementing the principles of DORA see: https://www.nwo.nl/en/dora
Open Access

As a signatory to the Berlin Declaration (2003) and a member of cOAlition S (2018), NWO is committed to making the results of research funded by NWO openly accessible via the internet. NWO is thus fulfilling the ambitions of the Dutch government to make all publicly funded research openly available. Scientific publications arising from projects awarded on the basis of this call for proposals must therefore be made available in open access in accordance with the Open Access Policy Framework.

Scientific articles
Scientific articles must be made available immediately at the time of publication (without embargo) via one of the following routes:
- publication in a fully open access journal or platform registered in the DOAJ;
- publication in a subscription journal and immediately (without embargo) depositing of at least the author accepted manuscript of the article in an open access repository registered in OpenDOAR;
- publication in a journal for which a transformative Open Access agreement exists between VSNU and a publisher. For further information see: https://www.openaccess.nl.

Books

CC BY licence
To ensure the widest possible dissemination of publications the Creative Commons (CC BY) licence must be applied. Alternatively – in case of serious objections - the author may request to publish under a CC BY-ND licence. For books, book chapters and collected volumes all CC BY licence options are allowed.

Costs
Costs for publication in fully open access journals can be budgeted in the project proposal using the budget module for ‘material costs’. Costs for publications in hybrid journals are not eligible for reimbursement by NWO. For Open Access books a special NWO Open Access Books Fund is available.

For more detailed information about NWO’s open access policy, see https://www.nwo.nl/en/open-science.

Data management
The results of scientific research must be replicable, verifiable and falsifiable. In the digital age this means that, in addition to publications, research data must also be freely accessible. As much as possible, NWO expects that research data resulting from NWO-funded projects will be made publicly available for reuse by other researchers. “As open as possible, as closed as necessary” is the guiding principle in this respect. As a minimum, NWO requires that the data underpinning research papers should be made available at the time of the article’s publication. The costs for doing so are eligible for funding and can be included in the project budget. In the data management section, and in the data management template if the project is awarded funding, researchers explain how they plan to manage the data expected to be generated by the project.

1. Data management section
The data management section is part of the research proposal. Researchers are asked to prospectively consider how they will manage the data the project will generate and plan for which data will be preserved and be made publicly available. Measures will often need to be taken during the production and analysis of the data to make their later storage and dissemination possible. If not all data from the project can be made publicly available, the reasons for not doing so must be explained in the data management section. Due consideration is given to aspects such as privacy, public security, ethical limitations, property rights and commercial interests.
2. Data management plan

After a proposal has been awarded funding, the researcher should elaborate the data management section into a data management plan. In this plan, the researcher describes whether use will be made of existing data, whether new data will be collected or generated, and how the data will be made FAIR: Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable. The data management plan must be completed in consultation with a data steward or equivalent research data management support staff at the home institution of the project leader. The plan should be submitted to NWO via ISAAC within four months after the proposal has been awarded funding. NWO will approve the plan as quickly as possible. Approval of the data management plan by NWO is a condition for disbursement of the funding. The plan can be adjusted during the research.

Further information on the NWO data management protocol can be found at https://www.nwo.nl/datamanagement-en.

Nagoya Protocol

The Nagoya Protocol became effective on 12 October 2014 and ensures an honest and reasonable distribution of benefits emerging from the use of genetic resources (Access and Benefit Sharing; ABS). Researchers who make use of genetic sources from the Netherlands or abroad for their research should familiarise themselves with the Nagoya Protocol (https://www.absfocalpoint.nl). NWO assumes that researchers will take all necessary actions with respect to the Nagoya Protocol.

Ethical aspects

In order to carry out scientific research, it is important that research proposals that may raise ethical issues are handled carefully. Certain research projects may require approval from a recognised Medical Research Ethics Committee (MREC) or an Animal Experiments Committee (DEC). In addition, certain research proposals require a licence under the Population Screening Act (WBO). More information on the MRECs is available from the Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects (CCMO). Information on the DECs is available from the Nederlandse Vereniging voor Dierexperimentencommissies (Dutch Association of Animal Experiments Committees) and information on the WBO is available from i.a. the Health Council of the Netherlands.

Applicants are responsible for checking whether their research proposal may raise ethical issues and, if necessary, for obtaining approval in good time from the appropriate ethics committee and/or obtaining a licence in good time under the WBO.

NWO endorses the Code on Openness in Animal Testing and the Biosecurity code. Applicants should must subscribe to and comply with the prevailing codes.

If the proposal is accepted, funding will be awarded subject to the condition of obtaining approval from the relevant ethics committee or a licence under the WBO. A research project cannot start until NWO has received a copy of any necessary ethics approval and/or WBO licence. NWO expects applicants to take into account the time schedule of the assessment procedure and the time required for an ethics committee review or to obtain a WBO licence. In the event of complex ethical issues, NWO reserves the right to consult an external advisor.

Research integrity

The NWO grant rules specify that all research funded by NWO must be carried out in accordance with nationally and internationally accepted standards of scientific conduct as laid down in the Netherlands Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (2018). By submitting an application, the applicant and candidate undertake to comply with this code. In the event of a (possible) breach of the above-mentioned standards in research funded by NWO, the applicant must inform NWO immediately and submit all relevant documents to NWO.
More information about the NWO code of conduct and policy on scientific integrity can be found on the website: https://www.nwo.nl/en/scientific-integrity.

3.6 Submitting a pre-proposal/full proposal

Applications (both pre-proposals and full proposals) may only be submitted via the online application system ISAAC. Applications not submitted via ISAAC will not be taken into consideration.

Please note: Main applicants are required to submit their applications via their personal ISAAC account. Main applicants who do not have an ISAAC account should create an account several days before submission. This is to ensure that any registration problems can be resolved in time. If the main applicant already has an NWO-account, there is no need to create a new account to submit an application.

When submitting the application to ISAAC, the main applicant will also need to enter additional details online. You should therefore begin submitting your application at least a few days before the deadline(s) of this call for proposals. Applications submitted after the deadline will not be taken into consideration. NWO will maintain contact with applicants during the assessment procedure, using the contact details in the applicant’s ISAAC account. Main applicants must therefore ensure that the details in their profile, such as postal address, telephone number and e-mail address, are correct.

For technical questions please contact the ISAAC helpdesk, see Section 5.1.2.
4 Assessment procedure

4.1 Procedure

The procedure has two phases: a pre-proposal and a full proposal. The pre-proposal consists of the candidate’s profile and a brief research idea. A full proposal consists of a detailed research proposal. A full proposal may be submitted only if the applicant of the pre-proposal has been invited to do so.

All persons and NWO employees involved in the assessment and/or decision-making are subject to the NWO Code for Dealing with Personal Interests (https://www.nwo.nl/en/code-dealing-personal-interests). NWO strives to achieve an inclusive culture in which there is no place for conscious or unconscious barriers due to cultural, ethnic or religious background, gender, sexual orientation, health or age (https://www.nwo.nl/en/diversity-and-inclusion).

In accordance with Article 2.2.4(2) of the NWO Grant Rules 2017, no referees’ comments will be obtained. These are small projects with relatively modest budgets.

A science-wide assessment committee evaluates and prioritises the pre-proposals and full proposals on the basis of the assessment criteria in Section 4.2. When writing the proposal, applicants are advised to take account of its accessibility for committee members from other scientific disciplines.

NWO assigns a qualification to all pre-proposals and full proposals:
- excellent;
- very good;
- good;
- unsatisfactory.

The applicant is notified of this qualification when the decision on whether to grant funding is made. Only proposals that are qualified as at least “good” will be considered for funding.

For more information on qualifications, see https://www.nwo.nl/qualifications.

The various steps of the assessment procedure are described below.

4.1.1 Check of conditions for submission

In the first step of the assessment procedure, NWO assesses whether the pre-proposal and the full proposal meet the conditions for submission (see Sections 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.6). All pre-proposals and full proposals that meet the conditions will be taken into consideration by NWO.

4.1.2 Pre-proposal

A science-wide assessment committee assesses and compares the pre-proposals on the basis of the pre-proposal criteria (see Section 4.2) and prioritises them according to likelihood of funding, without making use of external referees.
Pre-proposal decision

Applicants whose pre-proposals are assessed as least likely to be awarded grants will be informed of the committee’s intention to recommend that their proposals not be selected for further consideration. If candidates have good reason to disagree with the committee’s assessment, a reasoned response can be sent to NWO. The committee may decide to still select the candidate based on that response.

The committee’s assessment of the pre-proposals results in an recommendation submitted to the NWO Executive Board, which decides whether to invite applicants to submit a full proposal. Applicants who are not invited to submit a full proposal will receive a formal rejection decision.

4.1.3 Full proposal

Full proposals are not submitted to external referees and all candidates are invited for an interview.

Interviews

The candidate is invited for an interview with a science-wide assessment committee. Before the interview, the assessment committee will send the candidate some preparatory questions. The candidate presents the detailed research idea to the assessment committee, which then asks questions relating to the proposal and the presentation. Some of these questions may differ from those sent to the candidate before the interview. Both the interview and the detailed research idea are part of the assessment (see Section 4.2).

Full proposal decision

After the interviews, the assessment committee formulates its funding recommendation and submits it to the NWO Executive Board, which then takes the decision. Applications will be accepted until the grant ceiling indicated in Section 1.2 has been reached, provided there are sufficient eligible applications qualified as at least “good”. Candidates will be notified of the results as soon as possible after the Board has taken its decision.

4.1.4 Awarding and reporting

After the NWO Executive Board has taken its decision, the grant is awarded or the proposal is rejected. If a grant is awarded, the candidate will start within six months of the allocation decision. The allocation decision will include conditions regarding meetings with progress and final reporting and disbursement of the grant.

At the start of the PhD research, the candidate has 48 months at an appointment size of 1.0 FTE or equivalent in which to complete the PhD. After the grant has been awarded, NWO will employ interim reporting to monitor whether the research project is still progressing in such a way that both candidate and supervisor expect the PhD to be completed within this time frame. If the time frame no longer seems feasible, NWO will contact the candidate and the supervisor concerned.

Meetings

After the grant has been awarded, NWO will monitor progress towards the objectives of this programme. To this end, NWO intends to hold regular meetings to which candidates and supervisors will be invited.
4.15 Indicative timetable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-proposals</th>
<th>Full proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>28 September 2021</strong>, by 14.00:00 CEST</td>
<td><strong>8 March 2022</strong>, by 14.00:00 CET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for submitting pre-proposals via ISAAC</td>
<td>Deadline for submitting full proposals via ISAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-October 2021</td>
<td>Late March 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWO notifies applicants of eligibility</td>
<td>NWO notifies applicants of eligibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-December 2021</td>
<td>Mid-May 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results of pre-proposal round are notified to candidates</td>
<td>Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2022</td>
<td>July 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWO Executive Board decision</td>
<td>NWO informs applicants of the decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Criteria for pre-proposals

All pre-proposals will be assessed against the following criteria:

1. Candidate’s profile (see Section 4.2.1);
2. Research idea (see Section 4.2.2).

These criteria are weighted 80% and 20% respectively in the overall assessment of the pre-proposal.

4.2.1 Candidate’s profile

- Clear positioning of the candidate’s work with respect to scientific and (where possible) public themes or questions;
- clear indication(s) that the candidate has a striking talent for doing scientific research;
- indications of collaborative abilities;
- indications of independence, such as designing and conducting research, writing scientific or other articles;
- indications of non-scientific activities, such as managerial or professional experience and relevance to the research idea;
- ability to make the output of scientific research benefit society;
- persuasiveness;
- clear alignment of the candidate’s profile with the research idea, or a clear vision of how this alignment will be achieved;
- inspiring enthusiasm for research and/or technology in general and for the execution of the research idea in particular;
- embedding of the research: expertise of the supervising team, frequency of supervision and fit of the proposed research within the supervisor’s line of research.
4.2.2 Research idea

- Originality of the research idea;
- Importance of the research idea to the relevant fields and, where possible, to relevant public themes or questions.

4.3 Criteria for full proposals

All full proposals will be assessed against the following criteria:

1. Potential contribution of the research to science (see Section 4.3.1);
2. Research design, research approach and methodology (see Section 4.3.2);
3. Potential contribution of the research to society (see Section 4.3.3).

These criteria are weighted 30%, 50% and 20% respectively in the overall assessment of the full proposal.

A completed data management section is also part of the full proposal. However, this section is not assessed and is therefore not included in the decision on whether to award funding. The committee may, however, advise on the data management section. After an application has been approved, the researcher should elaborate the section into a data management plan. Applicants may use the advice of the committee in this regard. The project can start as soon as the data management plan has been approved by NWO.

4.3.1 Potential contribution of the research to science

- Theoretical framework (embedding in scientific theory and/or scientific discussions);
- Scientific relevance of the research (contribution to scientific knowledge and/or theory, potential innovative value);
- Research questions.

4.3.2 Research design, research approach and methodology

- Research design regarding to the research questions;
- Effectiveness of the research approach and methodology;
- Feasibility in terms of: work plan, available research time, available infrastructure, supervision and quality of the research group.

4.3.3 Potential contribution of the research to society

- The ability to position the intended research in a broader context: the potential to contribute to society.
5 Contact details and other information

5.1 Contact

5.1.1 Specific questions

For specific questions about Mozaïek 2.0 and this call for proposals, please contact:

Shantie Jadoenath
tel.: +31 70 344 0735
e-mail: mozaiek@nwo.nl

5.1.2 Technical questions about the electronic application system ISAAC

For technical questions about the use of ISAAC please contact the ISAAC helpdesk. Please read the manual first before consulting the helpdesk. The ISAAC helpdesk can be contacted from Monday to Friday between 10:00 and 17:00 hours CE(S)T on +31(0)70 3440600. However, you can also submit your question by e-mail to isaac.helpdesk@nwo.nl. You will then receive an answer within two working days.

5.2 Other information

The latest information can be found on the programme page of Mosaic 2.0 (https://www.nwo.nl/en/researchprogrammes/mosaic). From this page, you can click through to the funding page, where you will find the documentation needed to submit an application.

Personal data received by NWO in the context of this call for proposals will be processed in accordance with the NWO privacy statement. This can be consulted on the NWO website: https://www.nwo.nl/en/privacyverklaring

Disclaimer
The Dutch text for this call for proposals is the authentic text and prevails over any translation of them.