Bijlage 3 – Vragen aan referenten

Evaluatievragen tbv de review van onderzoeksvoorstellen binnen Onderzoek voor Duurzame Visserij – 2nd call for proposals (ODV2)

NWO-domein Toegepaste en Technische Wetenschappen

Aanvragen binnen ODV2 worden beoordeeld door externe referenten op de 2 beoordelingscriteria 1) Wetenschappelijke Kwaliteit en 2) Utilisatie Potentieel. Hiervoor worden de referenten gevraagd onderstaande vragen te beantwoorden.

Bij beoordeling van het Utilisatie Potentieel ligt de primaire focus op de impact voor de Nederlanse primaire visserij. Additionele impact op internationale schaal wordt hierbij positief gewaardeerd.

Meer informatie over de beoordelingsprocedure is te vinden in §4 van de call for proposals ODV2

1. Criterion Scientific Quality

1.1. To what extent is the proposed research original and how would you rate the innovative elements?
1.2. What is your assessment of the design of the project, including the goals, hypotheses, research methods, and scientific feasibility?
1.3. What is your assessment of a) the coherence and b) time schedule of the proposed lines of research?
1.4. What is your opinion of the research team(s) and the available research infrastructure?
   • What is the competency of the team to carry out the research plans, and the requested level of research experience (PhD-student, post-doc, etc.)?
   • What is the international position of the the research team in relation to the proposed research?
   • How adequate is the available research infrastructure to implement the research plans?
1.5. What is your opinion regarding the appropriateness of the project budget?
   • Are the number and category of requested personnel, budget for materials & contracting, and investments, adequate?
   • When relevant, is the budget for costs made by non-Dutch knowledge institutes in balance with the related output of these institutes?
1.6. What are the strong and weak points of the scientific approach of the proposal?
1.7. Do you have any other comments on other relevant scientific aspects of the research proposal?

2. Criterion Utilisation Potential

(The application of the results of the research by third parties)

2.1. To what extent does the research proposal fit the needs of the fisheries sector, especially the demersal fishing sector?
2.2. What is your assessment of the described potential commercial and/or societal impacts of the proposed research?
2.3. What is your assessment of the utilisation plan/strategy?
   • What is your opinion on the quality and feasibility of the utilisation plan?
   • To what extend are the user representatives able to facilitate (future) utilisation of the research output?
   • How would you assess the involvement, contribution, and commitment of the (potential) user representatives?
   • What are the prospects for future collaboration with industry and for knowledge transfer, assuming the project is successful? Please address both aspects.
2.4. What is your assessment of the research group’s competence regarding the transfer and application of research results in general?
2.5. Do you expect the application of results to be hampered by commercial propositions, existing patents, eligibility or societal acceptance?
2.6. What are the strong and weak points of the utilisation plan?
2.7. Do you have any other comments on other relevant utilisation aspects of the research proposal?